

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS RO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,084		02/14/2001	Tadashi Ohashi	1341.1080 (JDH) 9826		
21171	7590	09/05/2003				
STAAS &	HALSEY	/ LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				LEE, SE	LEE, SEUNG H	
WASHING	FON, DC	20005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	RP				
Advisory Action	09/782,084	OHASHI, TADASHI					
Authory Adden	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Seung H Lee	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	•					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
		DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMI	ber len				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Muranaga et al. (US 5,671,428) and Light (US 5,973,693) still meet the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: The respone after the final rejection that which was filed on 07 August 2003 will be entered upon filing of the Appeal Brief..